

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/994,928	11/28/2001	Ki-hyun Kim	1293.1243	7271
49455 75	590 12/28/2005		EXAMINER	
STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP			LUGO, DAVID B	
1400 EYE STREET, NW SUITE 300			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2637	

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/994,928	KIM ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		David B. Lugo	2637				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pendure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	October 2005.					
• -	·	his action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow		atters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is			
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	· 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>14-20</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>3 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>4-12</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interviev	w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date	TO 450)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0er No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5)	of Informal Patent Application (P7	IO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/994,928 Page 2

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/7/05 have been fully considered but they are not 1. persuasive. Regarding the rejection of claim 3 under 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim, Applicant argues that Kim does not disclose an optimal path searching unit which uses only an error signal at the region having the maximum likelihood of error to find a minimum error generation path. In response, the rejection also cites col. 10, line 4-9 where error correction unit 200 is described as detecting whether the length of consecutive 1s corresponding to the mark group does not comply with the quantized value, and if not, one or more bit values are corrected such that the length has one of quantized values. The portion of the error correction unit 200 that detects whether the length does not comply with the quantized value is considered a maximum error region determining unit which determines a region having a maximum likelihood of error, and the portion of the error correction unit 200 that corrects one or more bit values such that the length has one of quantized values is considered an optimal path searching unit. The processes performed by the maximum error region determining unit portion of the error correction unit 200 and the optimal path searching unit portion of the error correction unit 200 are described in col. 10, lines 11-51. The result of the detection by the error correction unit 200 of whether the length complies with the quantized value is considered to be an error signal used by the optimal path searching unit portion of the error correction unit 200 to find a minimum error generation path (i.e. 4, 2, n or 3 – Fig. 6A). Kim is thus considered to teach the limitation of an optimal path searching unit which uses only an error signal at the region having the maximum likelihood of error to find a minimum error generation path. The rejection of claims 3 and 13 are maintained.

Application/Control Number: 09/994,928 Page 3

Art Unit: 2637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United

States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim U.S.

Patent 6,697,311.

Regarding claim 3, Kim discloses a signal processing apparatus for reproducing and

original recorded signal from a predetermined channel signal comprising a maximum error

region determining unit 200 which determines a region having a maximum likelihood of error

(i.e. signal at transition region – see Fig. 6, col. 10, lines 4-19) and performs an optimal path

searching function to find a minimum error generation path (4, 2, n, or 3 – Fig. 6A) to correct a

part of the signal (col. 10, lines 29-51), and a signal recovery unit (demodulator 300) which

applies a predetermined algorithm to the channel signal including the corrected portion in order

to recover the original data.

Regarding claim 13, the demodulator 300 is considered to recover the data using a

threshold decision (col. 9, lines 1-11).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 14-20 are allowed.

5. Claims 4-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David B. Lugo whose telephone number is 571-272-3043. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F; 9:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/994,928 Page 5

Art Unit: 2637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Lugo 12/22/05

> JAY K. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER